arXiv:hep-th/9306123v1 23 Jun 1993

Mellin-Barnes Representation for the Genus-g Finite Temperature String Theory

A.A. Bytsenko
Department of Theoretical Physics, State Technical University,

St Petersburg 195251, Russia

E. Elizalde[1]
Department E.C.M., Faculty of Physics, University of Barcelona,

Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

S.D. Odintsov[2]

Dept. of Math., Pedagogical Institute, 634041 Tomsk, Russia
and *Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University,*

Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan

S. Zerbini [3]

Department of Physics, University of Trento, 38050 Povo, Italy

and *I.N.F.N., Gruppo Collegato di Trento*

Abstract

The Mellin-Barnes representation for the free energy of the genus-g string is constructed. It is shown that the interactions of the open bosonic string do not modify the critical (Hagedorn) temperature. However, for the sectors having a spinor structure, the critical temperature exists also for all g and depends on the windings. The appearance of a periodic structure is briefly discussed.

[1]E-mail address: eli @ ebubecm1.bitnet
[2]E-mail address: odintsov @ theo.phys.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp
[3]E-mail address: zerbini @ itncisca

The increasing interest in string theory at non zero temperature [1-3] as well as the numerous attemps to understand the origin and physical interpretation of the Hagedorn temperature [2] (a typically stringy phenomenon) have different motivations. If string theory is to be considered as the main ingredient of the "theory of everything", then hot (super)strings should describe the dynamics of the early universe. But even if strings were not so fundamental as hoped by somebody, they certainly may be quite useful in connection with QCD at non zero temperature.

The physical meaning of the Hagedorn temperature as the critical one corresponding to the behavior of thermodynamic ensembles, may be grasped by investigating the interplay between free strings and their interactions (i.e. higher loops). In recent papers [4], one-loop string theory has been studied by making use of the Mellin-Barnes representation for the related free energy. Working with these techniques, a novel representation of the free energy has been obtained (Laurent series representation). In addition, the Hagedorn temperature has been clearly interpreted as the convergence radius of this Laurent series.

The aim of the present letter is to generalize the Mellin-Barnes representation obtained in [4] to arbitrary, genus-g strings. Such a generalization will allow us to identify the critical temperature at arbitrary loop order. Early attemps to study the critical temperature for multi-loop strings can be found in [5-7].

It is well-known that the genus-g temperature contribution to the free energy for the bosonic string can be written as [5]

$$F_g(\beta) = \sum_{m_i,n_j=-\infty}^{\infty}{}' \int (d\tau)_{WP}\, (\det P^+P)^{1/2} (\det \Delta_g)^{-13} e^{-\Delta S(\beta,\vec{m},\vec{n})}\,, \tag{1}$$

where $(d\tau)_{WP}$ is the Weil-Petersson measure on the Teichmüller space. This measure as well as the factors $\det(P^+P)$ and $\det \Delta_g$ are each individually modular invariant [5]. In addition,

$$I_g(\tau) = (\det P^+P)^{1/2} (\det \Delta_g)^{-13} = e^{c(2g-2)} Z'(1)^{-13} Z(2)\,, \tag{2}$$

where $Z(s)$ is the Selberg zeta function and c an absolute constant [8]. Furthermore, the winding-number factor has the form of a metric over the space of windings, namely

$$\Delta S(\beta,\vec{m},\vec{n}) = \frac{T\beta^2}{2}[m_l\Omega_{li} - n_i]((\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1})_{ij}[\bar{\Omega}_{jk}m_k - n_j] = g^{\mu\nu}(\Omega) N_\mu N_\nu, \tag{3}$$

T being the string tension and $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, .., 2g$, $\{N_1, ..., N_{2g}\} \equiv \{m_1, n_1, ..., m_g, n_g\}$. The periodic matrix Ω, corresponding to the string world-sheet of genus g, is a holomorphic function of the moduli, $\Omega_{ij} = \Omega_{ji}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega > 0$. The matrix Ω admits a decomposition into real symmetric $g \times g$ matrices: $\Omega = \Omega_1 + i\Omega_2$. As a result

$$g(\Omega_1 + i\Omega_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_1\Omega_2^{-1}\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 & -\Omega_1\Omega_2^{-1} \\ -\Omega_2^{-1}\Omega_1 & \Omega_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Besides, $g(\Omega) = \hat{\Lambda}^t g(\Lambda(\Omega))\hat{\Lambda}$ [8], where Λ is an element of the symplectic modular group $Sp(2g, Z)$ and the associated tranformation of the periodic matrix reads $\Omega \mapsto \Omega' = \Lambda(\Omega) = (A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}$. As a consequence, the winding factor

$$\sum_{\vec{m},\vec{n}}{}' \exp\left[-\Delta S(\beta, \vec{m}, \vec{n})\right] \tag{5}$$

is also modular invariant.

It can be shown that the $2g$ summations present in the expression for $F_g(\beta)$ can be replaced by a single summation together with a change in the region of integration from the fundamental domain to the analogue of the strip S_{a_1} related to the cycle a_1, whose choice is entirely arbitrary [5,6]. Then, one has

$$F_g(\beta) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \int (d\tau)_{WP}\, I_g(\tau) \exp\left[-\tfrac{T\beta^2 r^2}{2}(\Omega_{1i}((\,\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-1})_{ij}\bar{\Omega}_{j1})\right]. \tag{6}$$

Let us now consider a different representation for the genus-g free energy. We shall generalize the method introduced in ref. [4] for the $g = 1$ case. The idea is to make use of the Mellin tranform of the exponential factor, i.e.

$$e^{-v} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \Gamma(s) v^{-s}, \tag{7}$$

with $\mathrm{Re}\, v > 0$ and $c > 0$. Therefore one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\vec{m},\vec{n}=-\infty}^{\infty}{}' \exp\left[-\Delta S(\beta, \vec{m}, \vec{n})\right] &= \sum_{\vec{m},\vec{n}=-\infty}^{\infty}{}' \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \Gamma(s) (\Delta S(\beta, \vec{m}, \vec{n}))^{-s} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \Gamma(s) (\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2})^{-s} G_g(s; \Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$G_g(s; \Omega) \equiv \sum_{\vec{N} \in Z^{2g}/\{0\}} (\vec{N}^t \Omega \vec{N})^{-s} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\tfrac{T\beta^2 r^2}{2}(\Omega_{1i}((\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1})_{ij}\bar{\Omega}_{j1})\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\,\Gamma(s)\zeta(2s)(\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2})^{-s}[\Omega_{1i}((\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1})_{ij}\bar{\Omega}_{j1})]^{-s}. \tag{10}$$

Finally, using the formulae (8) and (10) in eqs. (1) and (6), respectively, one obtains

$$F_g(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\,\Gamma(s)(\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2})^{-s}\left\{\int d(\tau)_{WP}\, I_g(\tau) G_g(s;\Omega)\right\}_{(Reg)} \tag{11}$$

and

$$F_g(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\Gamma(s)\zeta(2s)(\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2})^{-s}\left\{\int (d\tau)_{WP}\, I_g(\tau)[\Omega_{1i}((\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1})_{ij}\bar{\Omega}_{j1}]^{-s}\right\}_{(Reg)}. \tag{12}$$

These are the main formulae which will be used for the evaluation of the genus-g string contribution. In order to deal with such expressions the integrals on a suitable variable in $(d\tau)_{WP}$ should be understood as the regularized ones. In this way the order of integration may be interchanged.

As an example, let us consider first the $g = 1$ case. It is well known that [6,8]

$$(d\tau)_{WP} = \frac{d\tau_1 d\tau_2}{2\tau_2^2} \tag{13}$$

and

$$I_1(\tau) = -\text{Vol}\ (R^{26})(2\pi)^{-13}[\tau_2|\eta(\tau)|^4]^{-12}\,, \tag{14}$$

where $\eta(\tau) = \exp(i\pi\tau/12)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}[1-\exp(2\pi i n\tau)]$ is Dedekind's eta function. In the case of an open bosonic string, we have $\Omega_1 = 0$, $\Omega_2 = \tau_2$, and $\Omega = \text{diag}\ (\tau_2, \tau_2^{-1})$. In the limit $\tau_2 \to 0$ we get

$$\exp\left[-\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2}(\vec{N}^t\Omega\vec{N})^{-s}\right] \mapsto \exp\left(-\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2}n^2\tau_2^{-1}\right) \tag{15}$$

and

$$G_1(s;\Omega) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n^2\tau_2^{-1})^{-s} = \tau_2^s\zeta(2s). \tag{16}$$

The corresponding contribution to the free energy is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\,\Gamma(s)(\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2})^{-s}\zeta(2s)\left\{\int_0^{\infty} d\tau_2\tau_2^{s-14}\eta(i\tau_2)^{-24}\right\}_{(Reg)}. \tag{17}$$

After having regularized the ultra-violet region ($\tau_2 \to 0$), one has (for more details see [4])

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\,\Gamma(s)(\tfrac{T\beta^2}{8\pi})^{-s}\zeta(2s)\,\mathrm{Re}\,(-1)^s\Gamma(1-s). \tag{18}$$

As a result, the one-loop free energy admits the representation in terms of Laurent series :

$$F_1(\beta) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\zeta(2k)y^{2k} + C_0(\mu) + C_1(\mu)(\beta)^{-1} + F_R(\beta,\mu)\,, \tag{19}$$

where

$$y = (\tfrac{8\pi}{T})^{1/2}(\beta)^{-1}\,, \tag{20}$$

and the functions $C_0(\mu)$ and $C_1(\mu)$ depend on the infrared cutoff parameter μ, while $F_R(\beta,\mu)$ is the contribution coming from the contour integral along the arc of radius R on the right half-plane. In this representation the critical (Hagedorn) temperature arises as the convergence condition of the Laurent series, namely

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\zeta(2k+2)}{\zeta(2k)}y^2 = y^2 < 1. \tag{21}$$

As a consequence, $\beta > \beta_c = (\frac{8\pi}{T})^{1/2}$, β_c being the inverse of the Hagedorn temperature for the open (closed) bosonic string. Moreover, the Laurent series can be summed and a periodic structure appears, i.e.

$$F_1(\beta) \sim y\cot(\pi y) + C_0(\mu) + C_1(\mu)(\beta)^{-1} + F_R(\beta,\mu). \tag{22}$$

This provides also a possible analytic continuation beyond the Hagedorn temperature.

By analogy with the above one-loop evaluation, we shall consider in the following the open string genus-g contribution to the free energy. The matrix Ω may be chosen as $\Omega = diag(\Omega_2, \Omega_2^{-1})$. In the limit $\Omega_2 \to 0$, one has

$$\exp\,[-\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2}(\vec{N}^t\Omega\vec{N})^{-s}] \mapsto \exp\,(-\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2}\Omega_2^{-1}\vec{N}^t\vec{N})\,, \tag{23}$$

and

$$G_g(s;\Omega) \mapsto \Omega_2^s \sum_{\vec{N}\in Z^g/\{0\}}(\vec{N}^t\vec{N})^{-s} = \Omega_2^s Z_g|^{\vec{0}}_{\vec{0}}|(2s)\,, \tag{24}$$

where the Epstein zeta function of order g is defined by

$$Z_g|^{\vec{b}}_{\vec{h}}|(s) = \sum_{\vec{N}\in Z^g/\{0\}} [(n_1+b_1)^2 + ... + (n_g+b_g)^2]^{-s/2} \exp\left[2\pi i(\vec{N}^t, \vec{h})\right]. \tag{25}$$

The corresponding contribution is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \Gamma(s)(\tfrac{T\beta^2}{2})^{-s} Z_g|^{\vec{0}}_{\vec{0}}|(2s) \left\{\int d\tau_{WP}\Omega_2^s I_g(\tau)\right\}_{(Reg)}. \tag{26}$$

Since a tachyon is present in the spectrum, the total free energy will be divergent, for any g. The infrared divergence may be regularized by means of a suitable cutoff parameter. This divergent behavior can be associated with the procedure of pinching a cycle non homologous at zero (see for example [7]). It is well known that the behavior of the factor $(d\tau)_{WP}\, I_g(\tau)$ is given by the Belavin-Knizhnik double-pole result and has a universal character, for any g. It should also be noticed that this divergence is β-independent and the meromormphic structure is similar to genus-one case. As a consequence, the complete expression for the free energy may be obtained again in terms of the Laurent series, and the whole genus dependence of the critical temperature is encoded in the Epstein zeta function $Z_g|^{\vec{0}}_{\vec{0}}|(2s)$.

For this reason, we have to determine the asymptotic properties of $Z_g|^{\vec{0}}_{\vec{0}}|(2s)$. With this aim, we make use of the following general result:

$$C_g \equiv \lim_{\mathrm{Re}\, s\to+\infty} \frac{Z_g|^{\vec{b}}_{\vec{0}}|(2s+2)}{Z_g|^{\vec{b}}_{\vec{0}}|(2s)} = [(\hat{b}_1-\eta_1)^2 + ... + (\hat{b}_g-\eta_g)^2]^{-1}, \tag{27}$$

where at least one of the b_i is noninteger, $\hat{b}_i = b_i - [b_i]$ with $[b_i]$ the noninteger (decimal) part of b_i and

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} 0\,, & 0 \le \hat{b}_i \le 1/2, \\ 1\,, & 1/2 \le \hat{b}_i < 1. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

Furthermore, if $\vec{b} = (0,0,...0)$, then $C_g = 1$. As a consequence, we arrive at the conclusion that the interactions of bosonic strings do *not* modify the critical Hagedorn temperature. This result is in agreement with other computations [5,7].

However one can consider also different linear real bundles over compact Riemann surfaces and spinorial structures on them. The procedure of evaluation of the free energy

in terms of the path integral over the metrics $g_{\mu\nu}$ does not depend on whatever type of real scalars are considered. This fact leads to new contributions to the genus-g integrals (1) and (2). On the other hand, one can investigate the role of these contributions for the torus compactification [8,9]. In this case, the sum in eq. (1) should be taken over the vectors on the lattice on which some space dimensions are compactified. The half-lattice vectors can be labelled by the multiplets $(b_1, .., b_p)$, with $b_i = 1/2$. The critical temperature related to the multiplet $\vec{b} = (b_1, ...b_p, 0, .., 0)$ can be easily evaluated by means of eq. (27), which gives $C_p = 4p^{-1}$. As a result

$$T_{c,p} = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2}\, T_c. \tag{29}$$

We note that the particular multiplets $(0, .., 1/2, .., 0)$ and $(0, ..1/2, .., 1/2, ..0)$, where only one b_i and two b_i are different from zero, are associated with "minimal" critical temperatures given by $T_{c,1} = T_c/2$ and $T_{c,2} = T_c/\sqrt{2}$ respectively.

Finally, making use of the following series representation for the Epstein zeta function [10]

$$\begin{aligned} Z_g|_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{0}}|(2s) &= 2\frac{\pi^{\frac{g-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} \left\{ \pi^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \Gamma(s + \tfrac{k-g}{2}) \zeta(2s + k - g) + \right. \\ &+ \left. 2^{\frac{g+1-2s}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{(2-k)}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{N} \in Z^{g-k}/\{0\}} \left(\frac{\vec{N}^t \vec{N}}{n^2}\right)^{\frac{2s+k-g}{4}} K_{\frac{g-2s-k}{2}} \left(2\pi n \sqrt{\vec{N}^t \vec{N}}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $K_\nu(x)$ is the modified Bessel function, we conclude that it is possible to rewrite the integrand of eq. (26) in terms of the finite sum appearing in eq. (30). As a consequence, a finite temperature periodic structure is also present in the genus-g contribution.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank G. Cognola, L. Vanzo and K. Kirsten for discussions. A.A.B. is grateful to I.N.F.N. gruppo collegato di Trento for financial support. E.E. has been supported by DGICYT (Spain), research project PB90-0022, and by the Generalitat de Catalunya. S.D.O. wishes to thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS, Japan) for financial support and the Particle Physics Group at Hiroshima University for kind hospitality.

References

[1] R. Rohm, *Nucl. Phys.* **B237** (1984) 553; K. Kikkawa and M. Yamasaki, *Phys. Lett.* **B149** (1984) 357; M. Gleiser and J.G. Taylor, *Phys. Lett.* **B164** (1985) 36; M. Bowick and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** (1985) 2485; E. Alvarez, *Phys. Rev.* **D31** (1985) 418; N. Sakai and I. Senda, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **75** (1986) 692; J. Polchinski, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1987) 539; I. Antoniadis, J. Ellis and D.V. Nanopoulos, *Phys. Lett.* **B199** (1987) 402; Y. Aharonov, F. Englert and J. Orloff, *Phys. Lett.* **B199** (1987) 366; J.J. Atick and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B310** (1988) 291; R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B316** (1989) 391; S.D. Odintsov, *Europhys. Lett.* **8** (1989) 207; N. Deo, S. Jain and C.-I.Tan, *Phys. Rev* **D40** (1989) 2626; I. Antoniadis and C. Kounnas, *Phys. Lett.* **B261** (1991) 369.

[2] K. Huang and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25** (1970) 895; R. Hagedorn, *Nuovo Cim. Suppl.* **3** (1965) 147; S. Fubini and G. Veneziano, *Nuovo Cim.* **A64** (1969) 1640.

[3] S.D. Odintsov, *Rivista Nuovo Cim.* **15** (1992) 1.

[4] A.A. Bytsenko, E. Elizalde, S.D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, *Phys. Lett.* **B297**(1992) 275 and erratum *Phys. Lett.* **B298**(1993) 492; *Nucl. Phys. B*, to appear; "Finite temperature periodic structure in (super)string theory" preprint UTF 281 (1992), to appear in Mod. Phys. Lett.

[5] B. Mc Clain and B.D.B. Roth, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987) 539.

[6] P. Murphy and S. Sen, *Phys. Lett.* **B233** (1989) 322.

[7] E. Alvarez and T. Ortin, *Phys. Lett.* **B241** (1990) 215.

[8] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60** (1988) 917.

[9] W. Lerche, A.N. Schellekens and N.P. Warner, *Phys. Rep.* **177** (1989) 1.

[10] J. Ambjørn and S. Wolfram, *Ann. Phys.* **147** (1983) 1.